UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,642	12/27/2005	Alessandro Sette	EPI - 103X	5117
23557 SALIWANCH	7590 02/05/2008 IK LLOYD & SALIWA		EXAMINER	
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION			TONGUE, LAKIA J	
	PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1645	
			·	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/537,642	SETTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lakia J. Tongue	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		he correspondence address				
• •	VICTOR TO EVELOR 4 MON	THICK OF THEFTY (20) DAVIO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>une 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		_				
4) Claim(s) 30-44 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	· ·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, .					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alaatian waxuunuunuu					
8) Claim(s) <u>30-44</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)□ objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= : :	, .				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	' ''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 30-40, drawn to a composition of matter comprising an isolated polynucleotide, a vector comprising a promoter operably linked to said nucleic acid and a host cell comprising said vector.

Group II, claim(s) 30, drawn to a composition of matter comprising a DNA chip comprising a polynucleotide.

Group III, claim(s) 30 and 41, drawn to a composition of matter comprising an isolated polypeptide.

Group IV, claim(s) 30 and 42, drawn to a composition of matter comprising an isolated antibody.

Group V, claim(s) 43, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response in an individual comprising the administration of an isolated polynucleotide.

Group VI, claim(s) 43, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response in an individual comprising the administration of an isolated polypeptide.

Group VII, claim(s) 44, drawn to a method of detecting a *P. falciparum* antigen comprising contacting a biological sample obtained from an individual with an isolated polynucleotide.

Group VIII, claim(s) 44, drawn to a method of detecting a *P. falciparum* antigen comprising contacting a biological sample obtained from an individual with an isolated polypeptide.

Application/Control Number:

10/537,642 Art Unit: 1645

Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to All Groups

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct sequences. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are sequences with differing biochemical and immunological properties and a further restriction is applied to each Group.

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected antigen or combination of antigens and this should not be construed as a species election.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I-VIII appears to be a *P. falciparum* antigen. However, Hackett et al. (Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, 1999; 103: 183-195) disclose a *Plasmodium falciparum* protein (see abstract).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Application/Control Number:

10/537,642 Art Unit: 1645

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP

10/537,642 Art Unit: 1645

§ 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C.' 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakia J. Tongue whose telephone number is 571-272-2921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley can be reached on 571-272-0898. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number:

10/537,642

Art Unit: 1645

Page 6

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LJT 1/17/08

> ROBERT A. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER